

Electronically Filed  
Intermediate Court of Appeals  
CAAP-24-0000822  
25-MAR-2026  
07:50 AM  
Dkt. 123 SO

NO. CAAP-24-0000822

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

STATE OF HAWAI'I, Plaintiff-Appellee,  
v.  
CHARLES FREDRICK ROBERTS, III, Defendant-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT  
KĀNE'OHE DIVISION  
(CASE NO. 1DTI-24-094777)

**SUMMARY DISPOSITION ORDER**

(By: Nakasone, Chief Judge, McCullen and Guidry, JJ.)

Self-represented Defendant-Appellant Charles Fredrick Roberts III (**Roberts**) appeals from the District Court of the First Circuit's (**district court**)<sup>1</sup> November 27, 2024 "Notice of Entry of Judgment and/or Order and Plea/Judgment" (**Judgment**).<sup>2</sup>

On September 22, 2024, Plaintiff-Appellee State of Hawai'i (**State**) issued a Notice of Traffic Infraction pursuant to

---

<sup>1</sup> The Honorable Alvin K. Nishimura presided.

<sup>2</sup> We construe Roberts' "Motion for Leave to Appeal In Form [sic] Pauperis" as his notice of appeal.

Hawaii Revised Statutes § 291D-5 (2020). Following a hearing on October 22, 2024, and trial de novo on November 27, 2024, the district court entered Judgment in favor of the State and fined Roberts \$40.

On appeal, Roberts raises three points of error, contending that the district court erred: (1) by "enter[ing] a final judgment in this case without first deciding on [his] motion to suppress"; (2) "in making substantive findings on the merits of the [S]tate's witness, that was a part of their ruling that was used to dismiss [the] case" thus demonstrating judicial "personal bias"; and (3) because "the prosecutorial misconduct [was not] harmless beyond a reasonable doubt."

Upon careful review of the record, briefs, and relevant legal authorities, we affirm the Judgment. We note that there are no transcripts of proceedings for the record on appeal, and we therefore lack a sufficient record to review the alleged errors. See Bettencourt v. Bettencourt, 80 Hawai'i 225, 230, 909 P.2d 553, 558 (1995) ("The burden is upon appellant in an appeal to show error by reference to matters in the record, and he or she has the responsibility of providing an adequate transcript." (cleaned up)); see also Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 10(b)(1)(A) ("When an appellant desires to raise any point on appeal that requires consideration of the oral

proceedings before the court appealed from, the appellant shall file . . . a request or requests to prepare a reporter's transcript of such parts of the proceedings as the appellant deems necessary that are not already on file in the appeal.").

We therefore affirm the Judgment.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 25, 2026.

On the briefs:

Charles Fredrick Roberts III,  
Self-Represented  
Defendant-Appellant.

Brian R. Vincent,  
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney,  
City and County of Honolulu,  
for Plaintiff-Appellee.

/s/ Karen T. Nakasone  
Chief Judge

/s/ Sonja M.P. McCullen  
Associate Judge

/s/ Kimberly T. Guidry  
Associate Judge