

Electronically Filed
Intermediate Court of Appeals
CAAP-22-0000395
11-FEB-2025
07:48 AM
Dkt. 33 SO

NO. CAAP-22-0000395

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

JOSEPH RAMSEY, Petitioner-Appellee,
v.
OLGA BORDENYUK, a.k.a. Olga V. Bordenyuk,
a.k.a. Olga Vladimirovna Bordenyuk,
a.k.a. Olga Harrison, Respondent-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT
(CASE NO. 2CSP-21-0000042)

SUMMARY DISPOSITION ORDER

(By: Hiraoka, Presiding Judge, McCullen and Guidry, JJ.)

Self-represented Respondent-Appellant Olga Bordenyuk
(**Bordenyuk**) appeals from the (1) "Findings of Fact, Conclusions
of Law, and Order Granting Petition for Order Declaring . . .
Bordenyuk to be a Vexatious Litigant and Imposing Sanctions"
(**Order**), filed on August 2, 2022, and (2) Judgment, filed on

August 31, 2022, by the Circuit Court of the Second Circuit
(**circuit court**)¹.

Upon careful review of the record, and Bordenyuk's
brief,² we affirm the circuit court's Order and Judgment.
Bordenyuk's brief states,

The Maui court did not provide an interpreter
certified for translations in court. The translator who
was provided translated two words out of what was said in
five to six sentences. As a fact, by negligence or
intentionality, the court made a mistake and violated the
law. The fact that the second court documents were
presented to me for the first time during this meeting did
not give me time to familiarize myself. The certificate of
service law was also violated. the [sic] court
deliberately acted in violation of rights. man [sic]
against Olga Bordenyuk. I ask the High Court to make an
investigation and inform the perpetrators about the non-
performing actions. In this regard, the decision of the
court cannot be lawful as it is unfair and illegal.³

Bordenyuk provides no discernible argument, references
to the record on appeal, or citations to legal authority in
support of her various contentions. We decline to address the
merits of any issues that Bordenyuk did not argue on appeal.
See Kakinami v. Kakinami, 127 Hawai'i 126, 144 n.16, 276 P.3d
695, 713 n.16 (2012) ("[T]his court may disregard a particular

¹ The Honorable Kirstin M. Hamman presided.

² Bordenyuk filed a "Letter to the Court. Memorandum from Olga Bordenyuk BRIEF" that is non-compliant with Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 28, and does not include points of error, record references, or citations to legal authority. Petitioner-Appellee Joseph Ramsey did not file an answering brief.

³ We note that there is no transcript in the record of the hearing that Bordenyuk references. See Bettencourt v. Bettencourt, 80 Hawai'i 225, 230, 909 P.2d 553, 558 (1995) ("The burden is upon appellant in an appeal to show error by reference to matters in the record, and he or she has the responsibility of providing an adequate transcript." (cleaned up)).

contention if the appellant makes no discernible argument in support of that position." (cleaned up)).

We therefore affirm the circuit court's Order and Judgment.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, February 11, 2025.

On the brief:

Olga Bordenyuk,
Self-represented
Respondent-Appellant.

/s/ Keith K. Hiraoka
Presiding Judge

/s/ Sonja M.P. McCullen
Associate Judge

/s/ Kimberly T. Guidry
Associate Judge