

Aloha for Lahaina

Amber Makino

Kea'au High School

C/O 2024

In early August 2023, a series of devastating wildfires ravaged the town of Lahaina on the Island of Maui, displacing numerous residents and creating a critical housing shortage. In response, Governor Green proposed a temporary ban on short-term vacation rentals to encourage property owners to provide housing for those who lost their homes. This proposal sparked a heated debate between the urgent need to support displaced residents and the rights of property owners to maximize their income through short-term rentals. Although the plan was rescinded, Governor Green has indicated that such a ban might still be necessary if housing shortages persist. I agree with Governor Green's proposal as it prioritizes the urgent housing needs of displaced residents, promotes community stability, and upholds a sense of moral responsibility during a time of crisis. This essay explores these points in detail, while also considering the economic and property rights concerns of owners, to argue for a balanced and compassionate approach.

In Support of Governor Green's Proposal

1. *Helping Displaced Residents:* The wildfires left many people without homes, creating an immediate and critical need for housing. With limited housing available, prioritizing the needs of displaced residents is essential. Temporary bans on short-term rentals would open up more properties for those directly affected by the disaster, ensuring they have a safe place to live while they rebuild their lives.
2. *Community Stability:* Keeping residents within their community helps maintain essential social networks and support systems, which are crucial for emotional and psychological recovery after a disaster. Long-term rentals contribute to a sense of stability and continuity, which is vital for community resilience and collective

- healing. Displaced residents staying in their community can continue working, their children can remain in the same schools, and they can access local health services. Studies show that community cohesion significantly aids in recovery from traumatic events, helping people return to normalcy more quickly.
3. *Doing the Right Thing*: In times of crisis, it is important for communities to come together and support each other. Property owners have a unique opportunity to help their neighbors by offering long-term rentals to those displaced by the wildfires. While this may mean earning less money temporarily, it reflects a strong sense of moral responsibility and community solidarity.
 4. *Preventing Homeless and Poverty*: Displaced individuals who cannot find housing may face homelessness, leading to a cascade of other social problems, including increased poverty, mental health issues, and strain on public services. By ensuring that displaced residents have access to affordable, long-term housing, the state can mitigate these risks. Studies indicate that stable housing is a key factor in preventing long-term poverty and improving overall quality of life. Therefore, the proposed ban can be seen as a preventive measure against more severe social issues down the line.
 5. *Economic Recovery*: While it may seem counterintuitive, ensuring stable housing for residents can actually support long-term economic recovery. Residents who have secure housing are more likely to remain in the area, continue working, and spend money locally, which helps to stabilize and eventually boost the local economy. For instance, in communities hit by natural disasters, those that quickly rehoused displaced residents often saw faster economic recovery compared to those that didn't.

Opposing the Ban

1. *Financial Impact on Owners:* Property owners often make more money from short-term rentals than long-term ones. Losing this income could hurt their finances and ability to maintain their properties. However, temporary financial sacrifices are necessary during extraordinary circumstances like natural disasters. The state could ease this impact by providing financial assistance or subsidies to property owners who rent to displaced residents.
2. *Tourism Economy:* West Maui's economy heavily relies on tourism, and limiting vacation rentals could reduce tourist spending, affecting local businesses and jobs. However, the ban would be temporary and targeted. Ensuring that residents have stable housing is a foundational step towards economic recovery and long-term sustainability, benefiting the tourism sector in the long run.
3. *Property Rights:* While property owners should have the freedom to use their property as they wish, during emergencies, the needs of the community must take precedence. A temporary ban respects property rights while addressing a critical public need, demonstrating a balance between individual rights and collective well-being.

Finding Balance

1. *Temporary Solutions:* Instead of a permanent ban, the government could introduce temporary measures, such as a pause on new short-term rental permits. This approach would prioritize housing for displaced residents without causing long-term disruptions to the tourism economy.

2. *Incentives for Long-Term Rentals:* The state could offer benefits like tax breaks or subsidies to property owners who rent to displaced residents. These incentives would make long-term rentals more appealing compared to short-term ones, providing financial compensation for any lost income.
3. *Community Involvement:* Engaging both property owners and displaced residents in finding solutions is crucial. Public meetings, surveys, and collaborative policy-making can help create balanced and effective measures that address the needs of all stakeholders.

In conclusion, while recognizing the legitimate concerns of property owners and the tourism economy, the urgent need to provide housing for those displaced by the Lahaina wildfires makes Governor Green's proposal to ban short-term vacation rentals a necessary measure. By implementing temporary solutions, offering incentives, and involving the community in decision-making, Hawaii can address the immediate crisis while also considering the long-term economic impacts. Balancing these needs reflects a compassionate and practical approach to disaster recovery, ensuring that the state supports those in need without undermining the livelihood of property owners.

Contact Information:

Amber Makino

P.O. Box 1568

Kea'au, Hi 96749

Ambermakino05@gmail.com

Kea'au High School

C/O 2024

Hawai'i Community College

1175 Manono Street

Hilo, Hi 96720

(808)934-2500