## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER**

NO. CAAP-22-0000445 AND CAAP-22-0000446

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

## CAAP-22-0000445

AUGUSTINA J. DEAN, Claimant-Appellant-Appellant, v.

STATE OF HAWAI'I, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, Employer-Appellee-Appellee

and

STATE OF HAWAI'I, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, WORKERS' COMPENSATION UNIT, Insurance Carrier-Appellee-Appellee (CASE NO. AB 2022-043, DCD NO. 2-15-40652)

AND

CAAP-22-0000446

AUGUSTINA J. DEAN, Claimant-Appellant-Appellant,

v.

STATE OF HAWAI'I, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, Employer-Appellee-Appellee

and

STATE OF HAWAI'I, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, WORKERS' COMPENSATION UNIT, Insurance Carrier-Appellee-Appellee (CASE NO. AB 2022-044, DCD NO. 2-16-40013)

APPEAL FROM THE LABOR AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS APPEALS BOARD

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI 'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

## ORDER

(By: Wadsworth, Presiding Judge, Nakasone and McCullen, JJ.)

Upon consideration of Claimant-Appellant-Appellant Augustina J. Dean's (**Dean**) Motion for Reconsideration filed on July 3, 2023, pursuant to Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure (**HRAP**) Rule 40, and the record and files herein, it appears that:

(1) Dean does not "present new evidence and/or arguments that could not have been presented" earlier, <u>Chen v.</u> <u>Mah</u>, 146 Hawai'i 157, 172, 457 P.3d 796, 811 (2020); and

(2) Dean does not present any point of law or fact that we overlooked or misapprehended. See HRAP 40(b).

Therefore, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Reconsideration is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, July 11, 2023.

/s/ Clyde J. Wadsworth
Presiding Judge
/s/ Karen T. Nakasone
Associate Judge

/s/ Sonja M.P. McCullen Associate Judge

2