NO. CAAP-22-0000436

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

DOUGLAS HIRANO, Plaintiff-Appellant, v.

KATE McCLORY; SAND ISLAND TREATMENT CENTER; AT&T WIRELESS;
RANDY COOK; HOLIDAY INN HOTEL; WHITE SANDS HOTEL;
SAND VILLA HOTEL; COCONUT WAIKIKI HOTEL; OHANA MALIA HOTEL,
Defendants-Appellees, and
JOHN DOES 1-200; JANE ROES 1-100, Defendants-Appellees

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT (CIVIL NO. 1CCV-21-0001124)

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL FOR LACK OF APPELLATE JURISDICTION (By: Ginoza, Chief Judge, Nakasone and Chan, JJ.)

Upon review of the record, it appears that self-represented Plaintiff-Appellant Douglas Hirano appeals from the Circuit Court of the First Circuit's (circuit court) May 18, 2022 "Order Granting Defendant AT&T Mobility LLC's (erroneously identified as AT&T Wireless) Motion for Summary Judgment as to all Claims" (5/18/22 Order).

The court lacks jurisdiction over the appeal because the circuit court has not entered a final, appealable order or judgment. See Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) § 641-1(a) (2016); Hawai'i Rules of Civil Procedure Rules 54(b), 58; Jenkins v. Cades Schutte Fleming & Wright, 76 Hawai'i 115, 119, 869 P.2d 1334, 1338 (1994). Further, the 5/18/22 Order is not independently appealable under the collateral-order doctrine, the Forgay¹ doctrine, or HRS § 641-1(b). See Greer v. Baker, 137

¹ Forgay v. Conrad, 47 U.S. 201 (1848).

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Hawai'i 249, 253, 369 P.3d 832, 836 (2016) (setting forth the requirements for appealability under the collateral-order doctrine and the \underline{Forgay} doctrine); HRS § 641-1(b) (specifying requirements for leave to file interlocutory appeal).

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed for lack of appellate jurisdiction.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaiʻi, May 25, 2023.

/s/ Lisa M. Ginoza Chief Judge

/s/ Karen T. Nakasone Associate Judge

/s/ Derrick H.M. Chan Associate Judge