Electronically Filed Intermediate Court of Appeals CAAP-18-0000099 26-AUG-2022 08:12 AM Dkt. 110 OGDMR

NOS. CAAP-18-0000099 AND CAAP-18-0000712

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

CAAP-18-0000099

WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY, FSB, DOING BUSINESS AS CHRISTINA TRUST, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, BUT SOLELY AS TRUSTEE FOR BCAT 2015-14BTT, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. ISABELO PACPACO DOMINGO; MICHELE ELANOR DOMINGO, Defendants-Appellants, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; HALEWILI PLACE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, Defendants-Appellees, and JOHN DOES 1-50; JANE DOES 1-50; DOE PARTNERSHIPS 1-50; DOE CORPORATIONS 1-50; DOE ENTITIES 1-50; and DOE

GOVERNMENTAL UNITS 1-50, Defendants

AND

CAAP-18-0000712 WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY, FSB, DOING BUSINESS AS CHRISTINA TRUST, NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, BUT SOLELY AS TRUSTEE FOR BCAT 2015-14BTT, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. ISABELO PACPACO DOMINGO; MICHELE ELANOR DOMINGO, Defendants-Appellants, and BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; HALEWILI PLACE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, Defendants-Appellees, and JOHN DOES 1-50; JANE DOES 1-50; DOE PARTNERSHIPS 1-50; DOE CORPORATIONS 1-50; DOE ENTITIES 1-50; and DOE GOVERNMENTAL UNITS 1-50, Defendants

APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT (CIVIL NO. 13-1-202K)

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND <u>DENYING IN PART MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION</u> (By: Ginoza, Chief Judge, Leonard and Nakasone, JJ.)

Upon consideration of Defendants-Appellants Isabelo Pacpaco Domingo and Michele Elanor Domingo's (Domingos) "Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's July 14, 2022 Summary Disposition Order" (Motion for Reconsideration) filed on July 25, 2022, the papers in support, and the record, the court determines that reconsideration is warranted in part regarding section II.B. of the Summary Disposition Order and thus an Amended Summary Disposition Order will be filed. However, reconsideration is otherwise denied as unwarranted under Rule 40(b) of the Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure, and because the Motion for Reconsideration asserts arguments and presents evidence that could have but were not presented in opposition to the Motion to Dismiss Appeal filed on April 1, 2022. See Sousaris v. Miller, 92 Hawai'i 505, 513, 993 P.2d 539, 547 (2000) ("Reconsideration" is not a device to . . . raise arguments or evidence that could and should have been brought during the earlier proceeding."). Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for

Reconsideration is granted in part and denied in part. DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, August 26, 2022.

> /s/ Lisa M. Ginoza Chief Judge

/s/ Katherine G. Leonard Associate Judge

/s/ Karen T. Nakasone Associate Judge

2