

**THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII
NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION
FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D**

TO: Chief Procurement Officer

FROM: Office of the Administrative Director/ITSD/JIMS
Name of Requesting Division/Program

Pursuant to HRS § 103D -102 (b)(4) and HAR Chapter 3-120, The Judiciary requests a procurement exemption for the following:

1. Describe the goods, services or construction:
 This exemption is for Capgemini America Inc. to provide technical consulting services for the Judiciary's existing case management system (JIMS) to migrate the Family Court Civil case type from the mainframe system HAJIS to JIMS and provide maintenance and enhancements for the existing JIMS system.

2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider:
 Capgemini America Inc.

3. Amount of Request:
 estimated \$2,000,000.00

4. Term of Contract From: To:
 02/29/2020 to 02/28/2021

5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No.
 (if applicable):

6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means:
 It will not be practicable or advantageous for the Program/Division to procure this by competitive or other means because the Judiciary is in the midst of converting the Civil case types from the Judiciary mainframe HAJIS system. This vendor Capgemini America has already converted 75% of the mainframe cases and expanded the Judiciary Information Management Systems (JIMS) to manage these civil cases. With the remaining cases to be converted out of mainframe being Family Court Civil cases, it would be in the best interest of the Judiciary to remain with the vendor to complete this final conversion as expeditiously as possible in order to decommission of the mainframe, which is currently costing the Judiciary about \$500K a year to lease, whilst providing maintenance and enhancements to the existing JIMS system. (SEE ATTACHMENT FOR CONTINUATION)

7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider:
 Capgemini America Inc. was selected through RFP J12282 which was solicited in 2012 and remains as the current project vendor for the JIMS Project. Vendor has trained personnel familiar with JIMS and will be able to provide the technical support to meet the Judiciary's demands. Therefore, it would be in the best interest of The Judiciary to remain with the vendor to ensure project is a success on several aspects.

8. Identify the primary responsible staff person(s) conducting and managing this procurement. (Appropriate delegated procurement authority and completion of mandatory training required).

*Point of contact (Place asterisk after name of person to contact for additional information).

Name	Division/Program	Phone Number	email address
Mai T. Nguyen Van	JIMS Program	808.538.5308	Mai.T.NguyenVan@courts.hawaii.gov
Ni Y. Ho	Court Fiscal Officer	808.538.5746	Ni.Y.Ho@courts.hawaii.gov
Kevin G. Thornton	Director, ITSD	808.538.5714	Kevin.G.Thornton@courts.hawaii.gov

All requirements/approvals and internal controls for this expenditure is the responsibility of the Division/Program. I certify that the information provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

/s/ Kevin Thornton

Department/Division/Program Head Signature

08/30/2019

Date

For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only

Date Notice Posted: _____

Inquiries about this request shall be directed to the contact named in Item 8. Submit written objection to this NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION within seven (7) calendar days, or as otherwise allowed, from the Date Notice Posted to:

Chief Procurement Officer – The Judiciary
Financial Services Department
Contracts & Purchasing Office
1111 Alakea Street, 6th Floor
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-2807

Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) Comments:

Approved

Disapproved

No Action Required

Chief Procurement Officer Signature

Date

6. Bringing a new vendor would require a knowledge transfer period that would include learning the current JIMS system and learning the mainframe conversion programs in addition to learning the Judiciary business processes. Therefore, it would be in the best interest of The Judiciary to remain with the vendor to ensure that the final conversion out of the mainframe is successful and as quickly as possible to minimize the cost of supporting two infrastructure.