### THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII NOTICE OF TO EXEMPTION FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D

TO: Chief Procurement Officer

| FROM: | Office of Project Management        |
|-------|-------------------------------------|
|       | Name of Requesting Division/Program |

Pursuant to HRS § 103D ---102 (b)(4) and HAR Chapter 3---120, The Judiciary requests to amend an exemption for the following:

| 1. Describe the goods, services or construction:                                |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Purchase of a Keyboard Alert System for Circuit Court.                          |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider:  | 3. Amount of Request:                             |  |  |  |  |
| Security Resources Pacific, INC.<br>99-1191A Iwaena St.                         | \$9,845.39  |  |  |  |  |
| Aiea, HI 96701  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Term of Contract From: 07/01/2019 To: 06/30/2020                             | 5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No.      |  |  |  |  |
|   | (if applicable):                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | JE18-60   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the P    | Program/Division to procure by competitive means: |  |  |  |  |
| See attached  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the | e vendor/contractor/service provider:             |  |  |  |  |
| See attached  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |

|  | 8. Identify the primary responsible staff person(s) conducting and managing this procurement. (Appropriate delegated |                       |  |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| procurement authority and completion of mandatory training required).<br>*Point of contact (Place asterisk after name of person to contact for additional information).  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Name   | Division/Program   | Phone<br>Number       | emailaddress                           |  |  |
| Dee Dee Letts  | Office of Project Management   | 808-538-5990          | Deedee.d.letts@courts.hawaii.gov       |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| All requirements/approvals   | and internal controls for this exp   | enditure is the resp  | oonsibility of the Division/Program. I |  |  |
| certify that the information <b>p</b>  | provided above is, to the best of m  | y knowledge, true     | and correct.                           |  |  |
| /s/ Deedee Letts   |  |                       | 4/2/2019                               |  |  |
| Department/Divisio   | on/Program Head Signature  |                       | Date                                   |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only   |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |  |                       | Date Notice Posted:                    |  |  |
| Inquiries about this request shall be directed to the contact named in Item 8. Submit written objection to this NOTICE OF EXEMPTION within seven (7) calendar days, or as otherwise allowed, from the Date Notice Posted to: |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Chief Procurement Officer – The Judiciary<br>Financial Services Department<br>Contracts & Purchasing Office<br>1111 Alakea Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor<br>Honolulu, Hawaii 968132807                                       |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) Comments:  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Approved   | Disapproved  | No Actio              | on Required                            |  |  |
|  | ChiefProcurem  | ent Officer Signature | e Date                                 |  |  |

Attachment to Form CP-3 for exemption for Camera upgrade at the Juvenile Detention Center

### Current Situation:

All Circuits Statewide are using the same vendor i.e. Security Resources for their access and other security systems. All these systems tie into the same platform at ITSD and allow us to hook up with the DPS via the lighthouse platform that they tie into. The current vendor has been low bidder for parts of these systems and has also been granted exceptions to expand these systems. The vendor has provided excellent service for all systems across the state with minimal downtime and emergency response times of as little as 2 hours or less. The systems currently under this vendor and hooked up to this platform by Circuit are: First Circuit

- All building access
- Cameras in all but one building
- Detention lock system at Juvenile Detention, District Court Cellblock, Circuit Court Cellblock
- Duress system
- Maintenance of all systems

#### Second Circuit

- All building access
  - Cameras
- Duress
- Maintenance of all systems

## Third Circuit (still running original camera system and duress system)

- All building access
- Maintenance of all systems including cameras and duress

# Fifth Circuit (Bid detention lock system and Security Resources was the only bidder)

- All building access
- Detention locks (same system that we are proposing to install)
- Duress
- Cameras
- Maintenance of all systems
- KAS in for final approval for implementation

#### Proposal for exception

Circuit Court is proposing to expand it its duress and alert system to enable easier and faster access through the Keyboard Alert system. The current system through the phone (Berbe) is cumbersome and takes a significant amount of time to program. The new system would allow computer generated alerts with a preset keystroke building wide or to defined areas. It would also allow an ale1t to be sent to Public Safety at the State Capitol.

The cost to deploy the system building wide will not exceed \$10,000 and is planned to be done with year-end savings.