

**THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII
NOTICE OF TO EXEMPTION
FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D**

TO: Chief Procurement Officer

FROM: Chief Court Administrator's Office
Name of Requesting Division/Program

Pursuant to HRS § 103D ---102 (b)(4) and HAR Chapter 3---120, The Judiciary requests to amend an exemption for the following:

<p>1. Describe the goods, services or construction:</p> <p>Replacement of 30 Cisco Cameras at Circuit Court. There are currently 47 cameras at Circuit. The system has 30 Cisco Cameras that are about 5 years old and are 1.5 pixels and 17 cameras that tie into lighthouse and premisys systems and the rest of our court systems and are 3.5 pixels providing much better images for security monitoring. The new cameras would be at 4 pixels. The intent is to get the entire system on the same platform. In doing this we will avoid \$8,000 in yearly maintenance costs for the camera system.</p>	
<p>2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider:</p> <p>Security Resources 99-1191 A Iwaena Street Aiea, HI 96701</p>	<p>3. Amount of Request:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">\$9,292.15</p>
<p>4. Term of Contract From: 07/01/2019 To: 06/30/2020</p>	<p>5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No. (if applicable):</p>
<p>6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means:</p> <p>This company is the only company that can tie our camera system into the lighthouse platform for remote monitoring at the State Capital. The First Circuit has been as funds are available working to create the capability to have our buildings monitored remotely off hours by the capital sheriffs office. The Circuit has also been working to create an integrated system between all of our security systems (access, cameras, emergency warning systems) so that they may be monitored remotely by First Circuit Security staff. This integration is taking place the premisys (access system) and lighthouse. See attached justification and letter.</p>	
<p>7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider:</p> <p>Based on attached documentation we will contract directly with Security Resources.</p>	

8. Identify the primary responsible staff person(s) conducting and managing this procurement. (Appropriate delegated procurement authority and completion of mandatory training required).

*Point of contact (Place asterisk after name of person to contact for additional information).

Name	Division/Program	Phone Number	email address
Dee Dee Letts	Office of Project Management	538-5990	deedee.d.letts@courts.hawaii.gov

All requirements/approvals and internal controls for this expenditure is the responsibility of the Division/Program. I certify that the information provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct.

/s/ Paul Kaneshiro

Department/Division/Program Head Signature

3/22/2019

Date

For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only

Date Notice Posted: _____

Inquiries about this request shall be directed to the contact named in Item 8. Submit written objection to this NOTICE OF EXEMPTION within seven (7) calendar days, or as otherwise allowed, from the Date Notice Posted to:

Chief Procurement Officer - The Judiciary
Financial Services Department
Contracts & Purchasing Office
1111 Alakea Street, 6th Floor
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813---2807

Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) Comments:

Approved

Disapproved

No Action Required

Chief Procurement Officer Signature

Date

Attachment to Form CP-3 for exemption for Public Address System-at Ka'ahumanu

Current Situation:

All Circuits Statewide are using the same vendor i.e. Security Resources for their access and other security systems. All these systems tie into the same platform at ITSD and allow us to hook up with the DPS via the lighthouse platform that they tie into. The current vendor has been low bidder for parts of these systems and has also been granted exceptions to expand these systems. The vendor has provided excellent service for all systems across the state with minimal downtime and emergency response times of as little as 2 hours or less. The systems currently under this vendor and hooked up to this platform by Circuit are:

First Circuit:

- All building access
- Cameras in all but one building
- Detention lock system at Juvenile Detention, District Court Cellblock, Circuit Court Cellblock
- Duress system
- Maintenance of all systems

Second Circuit

- All building access
- Cameras
- Duress
- Maintenance of all systems

Third Circuit (still running original camera system and duress system)

- All building access
- Maintenance of all systems including cameras and duress

Fifth Circuit (Bid detention lock system and Security Resources was the only bidder)

- All building access
- Detention locks (same system that we are proposing to install)
- Duress
- Cameras
- Maintenance of all systems

Proposal for exception

Circuit Court is proposing to change and upgrade the 30 cameras that are not compatible with our current system at Circuit Court. This would allow our entire system to be connected to lighthouse and monitored after hours at the Capitol Sheriffs station. It would also provide for significantly enhanced images for monitoring. The change out would also pay for itself within a little over a year by reducing our maintenance costs by \$8,000. The \$8,000 savings reduces the cost of \$17,300 to \$9,300. The cost to upgrade these areas will not exceed \$9,300 and is planned to be done with year-end savings.