## THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII NOTICE OF TO EXEMPTION FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D

Т0:	Chief Procurement Officer	
FROM:	First Circuit Court / Facilities Management	
	Name of Requesting Division/Program	

Pursuant to HRS § 103D ---102 (b)(4) and HAR Chapter 3---120, The Judiciary requests to amend an exemption for the following:

1. Describe the goods, services or construction:

Performs replacement of elevator door edges for elevators #1, 2, 3 & 4 at Ka'ahumanu Hale. With the constant breakdown of elevators due to doors being a constant problem of either not opening nor shutting at various times has caused a safety issue of passenger injuries waiting to happen. Needs to furnish and install electronic door edges which will replace existing mechanical safety edges. Parts for these mechanical type edges are no longer manufactured but has to be taken from other elevators of this type that has been modernized with state of the art electronic components.

2. Vendor/Contractor/Service Provider:	3. Amount of Request:		
ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation	\$ 28,511.78		
4. Term of Contract From: Sept 15, 2018 To: Dec 31, 2018	5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No.		
	(if applicable): None		

6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means: It is not practicable or advantageous to procure through obtaining of three quotes means.

ThyssenKrupp Elevators has a contract with First Circuit Court to furnish new or refurbished parts meeting the quality standards under license name of ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation. With regards to repairs and maintenance of State-owned dumbwaiter, escalators and elevators and whether this service should be competitively bid or handled manufacturers' maintenance, extensive investigation by DAGS Central Services Division has determine that servicing by manufactures affords the best liability protection and value for the State. The basis for this include: a) liability issues, accountability through single sole manufacturer to protect the Judiciary exposure to liability; b) costs and parts availability, as the manufacturer has access to original parts, specifications, parts and engineering support to perform repairs and maintenance work as being the original equipment manufacturer (OEM); c) manufacturer's factory-trained technicians who have technical expertise and access to proper parts.

7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the vendor/contractor/service provider:

Being that ThyssenKrupp Elevator has the service maintenance contract for the nine elevators at Ka'ahumanu Hale, to have another elevator company to perform the upgrade to the elevators will cause disruption when trouble calls come about between ThyssenKrupp and third party vendor. Liability is critical for the Judiciary. Elevators are expected to operate reliably to transport building occupants without failure. Given the usage of elevators at Ka'ahumanu Hale, protecting the Judiciary's exposure to liability is the priority. Problems, failures and continued performance issues are clearly attributable to one source and resolution is expedient. To have another elevator company diagnosis the problem which is not their licensed equipment will not be feasible and in violation of present service agreement already in place with ThyssenKrupp Elevator Corporation. The Judiciary will always be in dispute with two companies for the same problem if we were to compromise in such matter as who gives the best pricing.

		staff person(s) conducting and ma etion of mandatory training requir		curement. (Appropriate delegated	
		er name of person to contact for a		nation).	
Nan	me Di	vision/Program	Phone Number	emailaddress	
Wayne Taniguo	chi Fa	cilities Management	539-5490	wayne.s.taniguchi@courts.hawaii.gov	
Paul Kaneshiro	Ct	. Administrative Services Officer	539-4351	paul.t.kaneshiro@courts.hawaii.gov	
_		l internal controls for this exper rided above is, to the best of my		esponsibility of the Division/Program. I ne and correct.	
	Kaneshiro			8/16/2018	
Dep	oartment/Division/F	rogram Head Signature		Date	
		For Chief Procurement	Officer Use O	nly	
				Date Notice Posted:	
	ithin seven (7) cale	ndar days, or as otherwise allowe ent Officer – The Judiciary es Department chasing Office eet, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor		t written objection to this NOTICE OF te Notice Posted to:	
Chief Procurem	ent Officer (CPO) C	omments:			
	Approved	Disapproved		etion Required  The state of th	
	Approved	Disapproved  Chief Procurement		•	

CP-3 (Sept 2013) Page 2 Exemption No: <u>JE19-35</u>