NO. CAAP-17-0000308

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

STATE OF HAWAI'I, Plaintiff-Appellee, v.
PHILIP BIKLE, Defendant-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT (CASE NO. 3DTC-16-005744)

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL FOR LACK OF APPELLATE JURISDICTION (By: Nakamura, Chief Judge, Fujise and Chan, JJ.)

Upon review of the record, it appears that we lack appellate jurisdiction over Defendant-Appellant Philip Bikle's (Appellant's) pro se appeal from the Honorable Michael J. Udovik's March 2, 2017 order in district court Criminal No. 3DTA-16-005744 denying Appellant's motion to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction and ordering Appellant to appear for trial because the district court has not yet entered a final decision or judgment.

"The right to an appeal is strictly statutory." State v. Ontiveros, 82 Hawaiʻi 446, 449, 923 P.2d 388, 391 (1996) (citation omitted). This court has jurisdiction "[t]o hear and determine appeals from any court or agency when appeals are allowed by law[.]" Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) § 602-57(1) (2016). HRS § 641-12 (2016) provides that "[a]ppeals upon the record shall be allowed from all final decisions and final

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

judgments of district courts in all criminal matters." Appellant is not appealing from a final decision or judgment of the district court because the district court has not yet held a trial and the charges have not been resolved. The March 2, 2017 order is a non-final, interlocutory order. Absent an appealable final decision or judgment, we lack appellate jurisdiction over Appellant's appeal.

 $\label{eq:Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Case} $$\text{No. CAAP-17-0000308}$ is dismissed.$

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, October 24, 2017.

Chief Judge

Associate Judge

Associate Judge