NO. CAAP-15-0000946

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

ASSOCIATION OF APARTMENT OWNERS OF POKAI BAY BEACH CABANAS, by its Board of Directors, Plaintiff-Appellee, v. AUGUST EUGENE ACANTILADO, MIREILLE HENRIETTE ACANTILADO, AMERICAN SAVINGS BANNK, F.S.B., a federal savings bank, Defendants-Appellees, and JOHN DOES 1-5; JANE DOES 1-5; DOE PARTNERSHIPS 1-5; DOE CORPORATIONS 1-5; DOE PARTNERSHIPS 1-5; DOE CORPORATIONS 1-5; DOE ENTITIES 1-5, and DOE GOVERNMENTAL UNITS 1-5, Defendants, and BOBBY RAY NARMORE, Person-in-Interest-Appellant APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT (CIVIL NO. 10-1-1490)

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL FOR LACK OF STANDING (By: Fujise, Presiding Judge, Leonard and Ginoza, JJ.)

Upon review of the record, it appears that Non-

Party/Appellant Bobby Ray Narmore (Appellant Narmore) lacks standing to assert an appeal from an October 28, 2015 stipulation to dismiss Plaintiff-Appellee Association of Apartment Owners of Pokai Bay Beach Cabanas' (Appellee AOAO Pokai Bay Beach Cabanas) complaint against Defendants-Appellees August Eugene Acantilado, Mireille Henriette Acantilado and American Savings Bank, F.S.B., pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(B) of the Hawai'i Rules of Civil Procedure (HRCP), because Appellant Narmore is a non-party in the underlying case, Civil No. 10-1-1490-07. Generally, the requirements of standing to appeal are: (1) the person must first have been a party to the action; (2) the person seeking modification of the order or judgment must have had standing to oppose it in the trial court; and (3) such person must be aggrieved by the ruling, i.e., the person must be one who is affected or prejudiced by the appealable order.

<u>Abaya v. Mantell</u>, 112 Hawai'i 176, 181, 145 P.3d 719, 724 (2006) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted; emphasis in original). "In other words, <u>non-parties</u>, who did not or could <u>not intervene</u>, <u>are ordinarily denied standing to appeal</u>." <u>Id</u>. (citation and internal quotation marks omitted; emphasis in original). In Civil No. 10-1-1490-07, Appellant Narmore neither sought nor obtained the circuit court's permission to intervene as a party pursuant to HRCP Rule 24, and, thus, Appellant Narmore, as a non-party, lacks standing to assert an appeal in appellate court case number CAAP-15-0000946. None of the parties in Civil No. 10-1-1490-07 have asserted an appeal.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED that appellate court case number CAAP-15-0000946 is dismissed.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaiʻi, May 26, 2016.

Presiding Judge

Associate Judge

Associate Judge