

Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court  
SCPW-12-0000665  
08-AUG-2012  
01:24 PM

NO. SCPW-12-0000665

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

---

ONEPO'OKELAOIAI'OA'OKEKAULAOEZEKIELA SUGAR  
CRAIG-RODENHURST, aka POOKELA, Petitioner,

vs.

THE HONORABLE MAURA M. OKAMOTO, JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT, STATE OF HAWAI'I, Respondent.

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
(CITATION NO. 1DT1-12-0093484)

ORDER

(By: Recktenwald, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba, McKenna, and Pollack, JJ.)

Upon consideration of O.S. Craig-Rodenhurst's "Affidavit of Truth", which we treat as a petition for writ of mandamus, it appears that Hawaii Revised Statutes chapter 291D and Hawaii Civil Traffic Rules 18 and 19 provide the available remedies to seek a review of the May 14, 2012 default judgment. Therefore, petitioner is not entitled to mandamus relief. See Kema v. Gaddis, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204, 982 P.2d 334, 338 (1999) (A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action). Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the clerk of the appellate court shall process the petition for a writ mandamus without payment of the filing fee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, August 8, 2012.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Paula A. Nakayama

/s/ Simeon R. Acoba, Jr.

/s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

/s/ Richard W. Pollack

