## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

## P. SPENCER JOHNSON, Petitioner,

VS.

THE HONORABLE PAUL T. MURAKAMI, JUDGE OF THE FAMILY COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT, STATE OF HAWAI'I, and LESLEY D. JOHNSON, Respondents.

## ORIGINAL PROCEEDING (FC-D No. 10-1-0437)

## ORDER

(By: Moon, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba, Duffy, and Recktenwald, JJ.)

Upon consideration of petitioner P. Spencer Johnson's petition for a writ of mandamus and the papers in support, it appears that petitioner can seek review of the April 8, 2010 post-nuptial agreement order by appealing from the order finally dividing property and debts in FC-D No. 10-1-0437. See Eaton v. Eaton, 7 Haw. App. 111, 118, 748 P.2d 801, 805 (1987). Therefore, petitioner is not entitled to mandamus relief. <u>Kema v. Gaddis</u>, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204, 982 P.2d 334, 338 (1999) (A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action. Such writs are not intended to supersede the legal discretionary authority of the lower courts, nor are they intended to serve as legal remedies in lieu of normal appellate procedures.). Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaiʻi, August 12, 2010.