Electronically Filed Intermediate Court of Appeals CAAP-12-0000509 17-DEC-2013 11:35 AM

NO. CAAP-12-0000509

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS

OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

FIRST HORIZON HOME LOAN CORPORATION aka FIRST HORIZON HOME LOAN, a Division of Tennessee Bank National Association, Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant/Appellee v. WILMER GALIZA and FLORDELIZA TAPAT GALIZA, Defendants/Counterclaim-Plaintiffs/Appellants, and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-10, Defendants-Appellees APPEAL FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND CIRCUIT (CIVIL NO. 10-1-0629(2))

> ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL PURSUANT TO HRAP RULE 30 (By: Nakamura, C.J., Leonard and Ginoza, JJ.)

Upon review of the record, it appears that:

(1) On May 23, 2012, Defendants/Counterclaim-

Plaintiffs/Appellants Wilmer D. Galiza and Flordeliza Tapat Galiza (Appellants) filed a notice of appeal;

(2) The record on appeal was filed April 5, 2013, and the appellate clerk informed Appellants that, among other things,

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

the opening brief was due by May 20, 2013;

(3) On May 14, 2013, the appellate clerk grantedAppellants an extension of time for the opening brief to June 19, 2013;

(4) On June 24, 2013, the court granted Appellants a second extension of time for the opening brief to July 18, 2013;

(5) On July 29, 2013, the court granted in part Appellants' motion to discharge their appellate counsel, and temporarily remanded the matter to the circuit court to hear and determine a motion to discharge counsel. The court also granted Appellants a third extension of time for the opening brief to October 12, 2013, unless the time is otherwise extended pursuant to Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure (HRAP) Rule 29(b);

(6) On August 30, 2013, the circuit court in the underlying case granted Appellants' motion to discharge counsel, and denied, for lack of jurisdiction, Appellants' "Motion to Allow Defendants Time to Hire Replacement Counsel, to Temporarily Appear as Pro Se and to Extend the Briefing Schedule";

(7) Appellants did not file an opening brief, or request an extension of time to do so;

(8) On November 14, 2013, the appellate clerk informed Appellants that the time for filing the opening brief had expired and, pursuant to HRAP Rule 30, the matter would be called to the court's attention on November 25, 2013, for such action as the court deems proper, which could include dismissal; and

(9) Thereafter, Appellants did not file the opening brief, or respond to the notice of default.

-2-

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal is dismissed.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, December 17, 2013.

Cias 14 Reliam Chief Judge

fudge iate

Associate Judge