Electronically Filed Supreme Court SCWC-13-0005454 21-JAN-2016 08:27 AM

SCWC-13-0005454

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

STATE OF HAWAI'I,
Respondent/Plaintiff-Appellee,

VS.

WAYNE LEE, SR., Petitioner/Defendant-Appellant.

CERTIORARI TO THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS (CAAP-13-0005454; CR. NO. 12-1-0161)

SUMMARY DISPOSITION ORDER

(By: McKenna, Pollack, and Wilson, JJ., with Wilson, J., concurring separately, and Nakayama, J., dissenting separately, with whom Recktenwald, C.J., joins)

Petitioner/Defendant-Appellant Wayne Lee, Sr. seeks review of the Intermediate Court of Appeals' (ICA) June 5, 2015 Judgment on Appeal, entered pursuant to its May 5, 2015 Summary Disposition Order, which affirmed the Circuit Court of the Third Circuit's (circuit court) November 6, 2013 Judgment (circuit

court judgment). Lee entered a conditional plea to the charges of Habitually Operating a Vehicle Under the Influence of an Intoxicant (HOVUII), in violation of Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS) § 291E-61.5 (2007), and of Driving While License Suspended or Revoked, in violation of HRS § 286-132 (2007). We accepted Lee's Application for Writ of Certiorari, and we now vacate the ICA's Judgment on Appeal and the circuit court judgment as to both charges and remand the case to the circuit court for further proceedings.

. . . .

Except as provided in section 291E-62, no resident or nonresident whose driver's license, right, or privilege to operate a motor vehicle in this State has been canceled, suspended, or revoked may drive any motor vehicle upon the highways of this State while the license, right, or privilege remains canceled, suspended, or revoked.

Although no specific issue concerning HRS § 286-132 was raised in the Application for Writ of Certiorari, the record indicates that Lee entered a conditional plea to both charges.

The Honorable Glenn S. Hara presided.

HRS § 291E-61.5 (2007) provides in relevant part:

⁽a) A person commits the offense of habitually operating a vehicle under the influence of an intoxicant if:

⁽¹⁾ The person is a habitual operator of a vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant; and

⁽²⁾ The person operates or assumes actual physical control of a vehicle:

⁽C) With .08 or more grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath. . . .

HRS \S 286-132 (2007) states in pertinent part:

After being arrested for HOVUII and Driving While
License Suspended or Revoked, Lee was taken to the police
station, where he was read an implied consent form. Lee elected
to take a breath test, which resulted in a breath alcohol
content reading of 0.091 grams of alcohol per 210 liters of
breath. Lee filed a motion to suppress evidence of the breath
test based on, inter alia, a violation of the Fourth Amendment
of the United States Constitution, which the circuit court
denied. Lee subsequently entered a conditional guilty plea as
to both charges that allowed him to appeal the denial of his
motion to suppress the breath alcohol test. The ICA affirmed
the circuit court's denial of the motion to suppress.

The form read in relevant part:

Any person who operates a vehicle upon a public way, street, road, or highway or on or in the waters of the State shall be deemed to have given consent to a test or tests for the purpose of determining alcohol concentration or drug content of the persons [sic] breath, blood or urine as applicable.

You are not entitled to an attorney before you submit to any tests [sic] or tests to determine your alcohol and/or drug content.

^{3.} ____ You may refuse to submit to a breath or blood test, or both for the purpose of determining alcohol concentration and/or blood or urine test, or both for the purpose of determining drug content, none shall be given [sic], except as provided in section 291E-21. However, if you refuse to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test, you shall be subject to up to thirty days imprisonment and/or fine up to \$1,000 or the sanctions of 291E-65, if applicable. In addition, you shall also be subject to the procedures and sanctions under chapter 291E, part III.

On certiorari, Lee contends, inter alia, that he did not constitutionally consent to the breath test under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution or Article I, Section 7 of the Hawai'i Constitution because his consent was coerced by the implied consent form, which conveyed a threat of imprisonment and significant punishment for refusal to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test, under HRS § 291E-69 (Supp. 2010).

In <u>State v. Won</u>, 136 Hawai'i 292, 312, 361 P.3d 1195, 1215 (2015), we held that "coercion engendered by the Implied Consent Form runs afoul of the constitutional mandate that waiver of a constitutional right may only be the result of a free and unconstrained choice," and, thus, a defendant's decision to submit to testing after being read the implied consent form "is invalid as a waiver of his right not to be searched." In accordance with <u>State v. Won</u>, the result of Lee's breath test was the product of a warrantless search, and the ICA erred by concluding that the circuit court properly denied Lee's motion to suppress the breath test result. Accordingly, Lee's conviction as to both charges cannot be upheld.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the ICA's June 5, 2015

Judgment on Appeal and the circuit court judgment are vacated,

and the case is remanded to the circuit court with instructions

to enter an order granting Lee's motion to suppress and to allow

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAI'I REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

Lee to withdraw his conditional guilty plea as to both charges.

See State v. Williams, 114 Hawai'i 406, 407, 163 P.3d 1143, 1144
(2007).

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaiʻi, January 21, 2016.

Taryn R. Tomasa /s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

for petitioner /s/ Richard W. Pollack

Kevin S. Hashizaki /s/ Michael D. Wilson

for respondent

