

Electronically Filed  
Intermediate Court of Appeals  
CAAP-11-0000602  
04-JAN-2012  
08:55 AM

NO. CAAP-11-0000602

IN THE INTERMEDIATE COURT OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

STATE OF HAWAII, Plaintiff-Appellee v.  
MARIA T. TIMMONS, Defendant-Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT  
(CASE NO. 1DTA-10-04522)

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL FOR LACK OF APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
(By: Nakamura, Chief Judge, Foley and Reifurth, JJ.)

Upon review of the record, it appears that we do not have jurisdiction over Defendant-Appellant Maria T. Timmons's (Appellant) appeal from the Honorable Clyde Sumida's August 2, 2011 order dismissing without prejudice Plaintiff-Appellee State of Hawaii's amended complaint against Appellant for operating a vehicle under the influence of an intoxicant in violation of HRS § 291E-61 (Supp. 2010), because the August 2, 2011 order dismissing without prejudice is not appealable pursuant Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) 641-12 (Supp. 2010).

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAII REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

"The right to an appeal is strictly statutory." State v. Ontiveros, 82 Hawai'i 446, 449, 923 P.2d 388, 391 (1996).

"Appeals from the district court, in criminal cases, are authorized by HRS § 641-12, which . . . provides in pertinent part that appeals upon the record shall be allowed from all final decisions and final judgments of district courts in all criminal matters." Id. (internal quotation marks, emphasis, and brackets omitted). "Although interlocutory appeals in criminal matters may be taken to the supreme court from the circuit courts, there is no analogous statute authorizing interlocutory appeals from the district courts in criminal matters." Id. (citations and footnote omitted).

A decision or judgment is final for purposes of appeal "when it terminates the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing to be done but to enforce by execution what has been determined." State v. Valiani, 57 Haw. 133, 134, 552 P.2d 75, 76 (1976) (construing HRS § 641-12) (quotation marks and ellipsis points omitted). The dismissal of a criminal complaint without prejudice is not a decision on the merits, and "a dismissal without prejudice is not a final order." United States v. Tsoie, 966 F.2d 1357, 1359 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992).

In State v. Kilborn, 109 Hawai'i 435, 442, 127 P.3d 95, 102 (App. 2005), we concluded that "[j]udgments of conviction entered in the district courts may not be appealed unless they are final. Judgments of conviction are not final unless they include the final adjudication and the final sentence." Id. at 442, 127 P.3d at 102 (emphasis added). The August 2, 2011 order

NOT FOR PUBLICATION IN WEST'S HAWAII REPORTS AND PACIFIC REPORTER

dismissing without prejudice is not a decision on the merits and does not include a sentence against Appellant. Therefore, the August 2, 2011 order dismissing without prejudice is not appealable pursuant to HRS § 641-12.

Absent an appealable judgment or order under HRS § 641-12, we lack jurisdiction over Appeal No. CAAP-11-0000602.

Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, January 04, 2012.

  
Chief Judge

  
Associate Judge

  
Associate Judge