

CONCURRING OPINION BY REIFURTH, J.

I concur in the result. I would not reach the merits of Siangco's second argument concerning the existence of genuine issues of material fact. Merely summarizing the facts alleged in Siangco's declaration, without explaining how those facts are (1) disputed, or (2) material to the challenged decision, does not satisfy Siangco's obligation under Hawai'i Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 28(b)(7). Accordingly, I would deem the second argument to have been waived.


Associate Judge