THE JUDICIARY, STATE OF HAWAII NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION FROM HRS CHAPTER 103D

T0:

EDOM:

Chief Procurement Officer

Human Resources

I IOM.			
	Name of Requesting Division/Program		
Pursuant to HRS §	5 103D -102 (b)(4) and HAR Chapter 3-120, The Judiciary re	quests a procurement exemption for the following: f	
1. Describe the go	oods, services or construction:		
used in running t required for the o salary data is ma accurately pay e	ort – Production support is necessary to deal with the he Human Resource Management System (HRMS). ongoing success of the implementation including resolaintained in the PeopleSoft HRMS system; its proper amployees. Also, security issues, system updates and ion Technology Communications Division (ITSD) and	Production support includes a variety of services ution of issues that pop up unexpectedly. Employee application affects the Judiciary's ability to timely and regulatory compliance and other duties as required	
2. Vendor/Contra	actor/Service Provider:	3. Amount of Request:	
Business Solutio	n Technologies	\$60,157.04	
4. Term of Contra	act From: To:	5. Prior Judiciary Procurement Exemption No.	
July 1, 2016 to J	une 30. 2017	(if applicable):	
		JE15-49	
6. Explain in deta	il why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the I	Program/Division to procure by competitive means:	
performed by Co issues of the soft proper applicatio are times when some eded to correct of Information Tellipolates and fix installed and control to Database Admidate, where approper Changing consulting the June 1997 Changing th	eeds to be knowledgeable with PeopleSoft software a shoultant include, but are not limited to the following: -tware used in running the HRMS. Employee salary day a affects the Judiciary's ability to timely and accurately something doesn't work properly in the system and ansat the problem. In some circumstances, however, a test the problem. In some circumstances, however, a test that are provided by PeopleSoft – Updates that are affigured on-line. We must analyze whether the installatinistration Support – This includes periodic maintenance opriate. Various parameters must be adjusted to kee that son this kind of project would be counterproducted diciary work processes and enhancements to be addressed.	Production support tasks – dealing with day to day at a is maintained in the PeopleSoft HRMS system; its y pay employees Production problems – There alysis must be done to determine why, and what is chnical issue may occur that is outside the expertise se, we rely upon an outside vendor to assist. The determined to affect the Judiciary's software are ation affects the system. The determined to be the release up to p the databases operating quickly and efficiently. The determined to lost time and money.	
In 2001, the com statement of qua	nil, the process that will be or was utilized in selecting the mittee for the HRMS project has reviewed (David Mag lification for services related to the implementation of displayed on the criteria that was established. Based o	eshiro, Dennis Koyama, and Wade Hiraishi) the the PeopleSoft HRMS and found DataHouse to be	

work. It was recommended in the best interest of the Judiciary to contract directly with BST for production support thereafter, as such BST was then contracted for production support thereafter.

Business Solution Technologies (BST) was the subcontractor to DataHouse and has been doing all of the other PeopleSoft

The Judiciary first contracted with DataHouse in FY01. They assisted the Judiciary with the implementation of the revised

the professional services of DataHouse which at the time was on the State of Hawaii Listing of vendors.

Human Resources Intranet pages as well as with the integration of the employee self-service pages.

CP-3 (Apr 2013) Page 1 Exemption No: <u>JE16-35</u>

Reprographics (01/14) AD AD-P-740

procurement_a	uthority_and_co	sible_staff_person(s)_conducting_and_1 npletion_of_mandatory_training_requ k_after_name_of_person_to_contact_for	ired)	ocurement(Appropriate_delegated_			
	me	Division/Program	Phone Number	email address			
*Wade Hiraish	i	Human Resources, Admin Svcs	539-4963	Wade.K.Hlraishi@courts.hawaii.gov			
Yvonne Ching		Info Tech Comm Division	538-5336	Yvonne.N. Ching@courts.hawaii.gov			
All requirements/approvals and internal controls for this expenditure is the responsibility of the Division/Program. I certify that the information provided above is, to the best of my knowledge, true and correct. /s/ Dee Wakabayahi Department/Division/Program Head Signature Date							
For Chief Procurement Officer Use Only							
Date_Notice_Posted:_ Inquiries_about_this_request_shall_be_directed_to_the_contact_named_in_Item 8 Submit_written_objection_to_this_NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR EXEMPTION_within_seven_(7)_calendar_days,_or_as_otherwise_allowed,_from_the Date_Notice_Posted_to:_							
Chief_Procurement_Officer The Judiciary_ Financial_Services Department_ Contracts_&_Purchasing_Office_ 1111 Alakea_Street, 6 th Floor_ Honolulu,_Hawaii_96813-2807							
Chief_Procurement_Officer_(CPO) Comments:_							
	Approved_	☐ Disapproved_	☐ No Act	ion_Required_			
		Chief Procureme	nt Officer Signatu	ire Date			

CP-3 (Apr 2013)_ Page 2 Exemption_No:_JE16-35

Additional Information for Judiciary CP-3 form

Business Solution Technologies

Exemption JE16-35

#6. Explain in detail why it is not practicable or not advantageous for the Program/Division to procure by competitive means:

Changing consultant on this kind of project would be counterproductive because planning and knowledge transfer regarding the Judiciary work processes and enhancements to be addressed would lead to lost time and money.

Customizations make it impractical for another vendor to be selected the customizations are such that without prior knowledge and experience with the customizations and code, maintenance will be extremely difficult.

#7. Explain in detail, the process that will be or was utilized the vendor/contractor/service provider:

Business Solution Technologies (BST) was then contracted for production support thereafter.