

Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court  
SCPW-17-0000005  
26-JAN-2017  
02:17 PM

SCPW-17-0000005

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI‘I

---

STEFAN ROZEMBERSKY, Petitioner,

vs.

HAWAI‘I BAR COMMISSION, Respondent.

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
(SCBA-16-0000190)

ORDER

(By: Recktenwald, C.J., Nakayama, McKenna, Pollack, and Wilson, JJ.)

Upon consideration of Petitioner Stefan Rozembersky’s Petition for Writ of Mandamus,<sup>1</sup> Statement of Facts, Statement of Issues and Relief Sought, and Statement of Reasons for Issuing the Writ filed on January 4, 2017:

- (1) Petitioner petitions the court to:
  - (a) amend certain supreme rules related to examination and admission to the State bar;
  - (b) allow attorneys, who are admitted and in good standing in another state, take the Hawai‘i bar examination, regardless of law school accreditation;
  - (c) allow for “waiver by motion” which would

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner did not pay the required filing fee for this petition for a writ of mandamus. See Rule 45(e)(1) and Appendix B of the Hawai‘i Rules of Appellate Procedure.

allow applicant attorneys admission to the Hawai'i bar without examination; and

(d) award Petitioner costs and reasonable attorneys' fees associated with bringing this matter before the court.

(2) A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the Petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief. Straub Clinic & Hospital v. Kochi, 81 Hawai'i 410, 414, 917 P.2d 1284, 1288 (1996).

(3) Petitioner fails to demonstrate that he has a clear and indisputable right to the relief requested. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, January 26, 2017.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Paula A. Nakayama

/s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

/s/ Richard W. Pollack

/s/ Michael D. Wilson

