

**Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court  
SCPW-12-0000699  
23-AUG-2012  
11:18 AM**

NO. SCPW-12-0000699

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

---

EDMUND M. ABORDO, Petitioner,

vs.

THE HONORABLE KARL K. SAKAMOTO, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT  
COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT, STATE OF HAWAI'I, Respondent.

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
(CIV. NO. 1CC09-1-1599; CR. NO. 93-0737)

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

(By: Recktenwald, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba, McKenna, and Pollack, JJ.)

Upon consideration of petitioner Edmund M. Abordo's August 8, 2012 petition for a writ of mandamus, the papers in support and the record, it appears that petitioner fails to demonstrate a clear and indisputable right to relief and, therefore, is not entitled to mandamus relief. See Kema v. Gaddis, 91 Hawai'i 200, 204-05, 982 P.2d 334, 338-39 (1999) (A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy that will not issue unless the petitioner demonstrates a clear and indisputable right to relief and a lack of alternative means to redress adequately the alleged wrong or obtain the requested action. Moreover, where a court has discretion to act, mandamus will not lie to interfere with or control the exercise of that discretion, even when the judge has acted erroneously, unless the judge has

exceeded his or her jurisdiction, has committed a flagrant and manifest abuse of discretion, or has refused to act on a subject properly before the court under circumstances in which he or she has a legal duty to act). Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the clerk of the appellate court shall process the petition for a writ of mandamus without payment of the filing fee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, August 23, 2012.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Paula A. Nakayama

/s/ Simeon R. Acoba, Jr.

/s/ Sabrina S. McKenna

/s/ Richard W. Pollack

